

THE FIFTH SCENARIO - 2025

PROSPECTS FOR THE TRENDS OF THE TRANSFORMED WORLD*

TO STRUCTURE NEW WORLD ORDER - LEGAL, ENERGY, FINANCIAL, ECOLOGICAL AND MORE HUMAN

"The bells of the doomed future of Earth, are gonging ... Shall we wait for the last gong, or shall work for our new future ..."

Anton Antonov

Less than four years after the National Intelligence Council (NIC) of the United States has made public the report: World in 2020, before us is the next report: "Global Trends 2025". Once more considered are four provocative scenarios, but this time in each of them, the picture is distinctly pessimistic.

The conclusion is that quite quickly we have already stumbled into a "transformed world", and only within the last four years. The second logical conclusion is - the previous prognostic scenarios are end here, forced is change of thinking and accelerated movement in direction – the future day on planet Earth. And the third forced conclusion is that the NIC continue to consider for proper – displaying its policy in the open, clear sign for responsibility and concern for the planet's future. There is another hypothetical possibility, NIC to challenge brainstorming, and with the offered scenarios to wake up the world's best minds about the needed demanding useful and responsible measures to be taken.

The change of administrations and key leaders in leading countries like the United States, Britain, France and Russia is also reflected in the need for new approach and change. "Changing" of the administrations could be a simulated action aiming to resolve some acute problems for the moment and delaying of the cardinal problems pushing them ahead in time. To what degree the new leaders and their administrations will have the qualities and determination to change direction now will show accelerated planetary time. The clock is running.

**Global Trends – 2025: A Transformed World, NIC*

In a previous report by NIC "The World in 2020", in none of the scenarios was accentuated about the problems arriving from the Petroleum world where we are living in, and its logical and approaching "end". The problem of ensuring *steady balance* and recovery of the Planet, the global warming and the need to turn to the "new clean energy" were not the topics in the scenarios. It was not among the scenarios the problem with the "leadership" and the ability for risk management in the condition of global crisis, as we noted in our analysis "The Fifth Scenario **". In the analysis of the "change" - "Global Trends - 2025 ", this cascade of problems almost finds it entirely proper place. Apparently, the NIC analysts have made their cautious conclusions about the global future of the planet. "The Transformed World" imposes a rapid but (also) well-prepared change of strategies with more broad-based participants, with expanding the global geography of useful acts.

In the future, useful pragmatic course would be building a network of regional offices with analytical functions focused towards global problems analogical to the model of NIC, which is a purely an American structure, exported to various parts of the planet with a centralized system and to work with one center and to be in one system. The problem, of course will be who is going to be the center. Logically would be such informational and analytical network to have its global center. Such an institution could be the first pragmatic step to a new order of the "transformed" world.

Now perform and function a new management team of NIC, which most likely brings new pragmatic ideas. A good scenario for the future, press and other countries, besides the United States to have the will to "come out in the open" in the information world, and assume their responsibilities on the issues raised in the "Global Trends – 2025".

** "The Fifth Scenario", 2008 www.eurobalkanconsult.com

THE WORLD TODAY

In the "Global Trends - 2025", it paints a new picture of the world, with the rise of China, India, Russia, Brazil, beginning the formation of a new global leadership system. To the new leadership are likely to join other countries like - Turkey, Iran, and Indonesia. This is the natural course of evolution and dynamics, but on the other hand, appears new specific categories of a non-state "new players". Global companies that play for themselves only, "Al-Qaeda" and related terrorist structures, the new type of "oligarchic" mafia, conceived in Eastern Europe, criminal energy cartels and relative to them aggressive religious centers. The conclusion is that with increasing number of "big players", on the one hand – countries and on the other, non-state forces, they all becoming an increasingly important and growing factor of influence, destabilizing the world. There is one more conclusion, NIC analysts do not place among "the players", some still known as "great" countries (France, UK) as well as Germany, likely the European Union, and the new global realities, by reducing their global significance. The focus of the "2008 report" is that the U.S. will continue to be the leading "global player", the most powerful country, but with diminishing functions of responsibilities.

For the European Union, the prognosis is that it is going to weaken its viability with its current policy. The EU's position of old and irreplaceable "ostrich", around which the world is moving, is outdated and pernicious reality. Difficulties in reversing a demographic collapse, the Muslim presence, and the problem with its integrating, energy dependence on Russia are issues, which are difficult to overcome today. In addition to these factors in the report points out the determination that organized crime, especially in Eastern Europe is extremely tough and vigorous and is on track to take over the power in some countries.

EU is built and has developed for decades, but it is obvious that in the last decade, its extension is faster and is result from intuitive rather than strategic analysis and decisions. Contradictory and inconsistent is EU policy towards two important countries that are geopolitical and in Europe and Asia. On one hand, the longest "waiting in line" state for EU membership - Turkey, as we can see from the "Report of 2008", already shapes up to be a solitary global player, meantime become a member of the G-20. And on the other hand, confused

"policies" of the EU and some Member States towards the Eurasian country - Russia. Lack of strategy and coordination of the policies of the EU to both countries is obvious.

In the most recent annual analytical report "Global threats to the nation" (February 2009), presented by Mr. Dennis Blair (Director of NIC) in front of the Senate Intelligence Committee, were analyzed primarily ten geopolitical points, which warn a "threat". There are included "the usually suspected" - Near and Middle East and the adjacent regions, China, India, Latin America, Africa and so on.

In one of the regions, which include "Russia and Eurasia" is mentioned that the *Balkans present the biggest threat to stability in Europe in 2009*. In particular, the analysis applies to Kosovo, Bosnia and Herzegovina and in this relation with Serbia - countries that are not part of the EU and NATO. Of course, if we enter into a deeper and non-generalized analysis, especially after the recent dynamic developments of some negative processes, there should not be excluded a special category of "allies" in the Balkans. For example, Bulgaria with enduringly unstable government, accompanied by corruption, street crime, missing of strategic and political orientation (geopolitical astraddle), inconsistency and insincerity of the political "elites" and Greece (the periodic outbreak of leftist and unmanageable insurrections) with inconsistencies and contradictions in policy in relation to its contractual responsibilities in NATO. Politically and economically unconsolidated Balkans, "the tear-up" of the political elites between the past, today and the future making the region uncertain and vulnerable in crises. In this region, each "change" in political elites, appears to be a kind of "relay", genetically linked to schemes operated by the recent historical (political) past, refracted by the substantial and lasting business - practices with oligarchic-mafia tone.

For EU, NATO and individual countries in the Euro-Atlantic alliance in the broadest sense is appropriate to establish and enforce new, more precise and objectified with the realities strategy for the Balkans (especially for the members of the EU and NATO), which undoubtedly will be influential for shaping the future of the Euro-Atlantic civilization model. Populist "diplomacy", geopolitical model and "experience" from other planetary regions, for the

Balkans are unacceptable and unworkable. It is useful, NATO and the EU to develop a realistic long-term regional strategy for the Balkans, which will take into account the realities. *The Balkans are another standard, another difficult reality, but without them there is no Europe.* Only when they shall be recognized as mutually known and consolidated shall be overcome the phenomenon of "threat" which the region broadcast permanently.

I. WHAT IS THE CURRENT WORLD CRISIS

THE ROOTS OF CRISIS

Today, more often we remember "the Great Depression of 1929". World economic crisis, which is the most analyzed and studied as a "model" from which we have to protect ourselves. "Crisis" is not a surprise. In particular historical periods, during and after the wars, and acute resource crisis are exploding local, regional and bigger crises.

In the crisis of the modern world we have seen a trend - the inadequacy of the amount of money and quantities of commodity in the markets. For the last thirty-plus years, the money of the Planet has increased more than forty times, and the goods – only about four times. In the leading state - the U.S., the index of Dow Jones, after 1982, rising twelve times, and domestic product only four times.

Beginning of the global (open) economy was initiated in 1944 in Bretton Woods, legally the "Globalism" is launched with the creation of the United Nations in 1945.

Gradually over the years, the international global financial system began to resemble a huge credit pyramid. Definitely we are in the position that the vast mass of accumulated debt (government, corporate and personal), headed up and up, resembling like a "tower" threatening to collapse and sweep away everything.

GLOBAL ECONOMY DELIVER GLOBAL CRISIS

Signs for a Global Crisis were present frequently. Inflation, Recession, Oil crisis, low productivity is conditions, symptoms that something is wrong. The

Club of Rome in a report in the last century was one of the first who alerted the end of the raw material reserves and the danger of global warming.

But according to the German economist Max Ote in his work "The crisis is coming", the things moved in another, a little "paradoxical" manner. In 1979 Paul Volker, the then director of the American bank of issue (Federal Reserve Board), led a drastic fight against the inflation. Interest rates in the U.S. increased by more than 20 percent and the U.S. economy fell into recession. The ghost of global inflation was expelled... *This period marked the beginning of an unknown upturn in the history of the world economy recovery... Looking more broadly, the U.S. economy, bouncing from one record to another, while Asia has become a region of an economic miracle ... (led by Japan).* The progress of global capitalism seemed incessant". But this process was accompanied by a series of stagnations and crisis.

THE CRISIS

Under the Scheme of Max OTE, sporadic, regional and thematic crisis phenomena occur almost every year, they are something like forerunner of major crisis, such as:

- * the collapse of the shares by October 1987 the Frankfurt Stock Exchange;
- * implosion of economic superpower Japan after 1990;
- * Mexican debt crisis of 1994/1995 year;
- * Asian crisis of 1997;
- * Russian debt crisis of 1998;
- * the collapse of LTCM hedge fund of 1998;
- * Brazilian debt crisis of 1999;
- * failure of new economy and stock market crash of technological property values after 2000;
- * as well as the debt crisis in Turkey and Argentina in 2001. "

And simultaneously it can be seen from the above table that for the period between 1982 to the end of 2006 - index of Dow Jones, increased more than 1200%!

The "Scheme" Max Ote illustrates, a 10-12 year period of the global economy where it is clear that almost every year somewhere on the planet there is a serious economic or financial crisis. Moreover, the intensity of the crisis increases and "crystallizes" in relation to one exchange fund or a bank. In the globally regulated "financial world" is a natural to „pop off” financial institution. Logically the controversy of the "players" in the market, in a certain region, leads inevitably to a "disease" in the financial system. One part of the "players" gain extremely high and others part lose in the same time. And the crisis just comes naturally to overcome the momentary market imbalance. There is a necessity for a change.

Or, it can be concluded - *the crisis could develop during the biggest boom, but it is necessary to have the availability of other factors, and above all - "Need for Crisis" of the World or someone regional economy. And it happens when the world or region needs a drastic "overhaul" of the system.*

There is another side of the crisis. The crisis does not have only economic implications - bankruptcies, unemployment, and hunger. Today's global economy and energy are tightly bound, almost "without alternative” with dangerously diminishing three dangerous energy resources - oil, coal and gas, (whose reserves already passed the peak), and their almost uncontrolled exploitation creates sharp ecological problems. The stable natural balance on the planet has been compromised for long time. During a meeting in Pittsburgh, even “G-20” in the adopted Final Act, noted that *"access to diversified, reliable and clean energy is critical for sustained growth."*

CRISIS is an expression and for another aspect, it signals that the human species and the surrounding natural environment is endangered. The remedy is urgent need for painful "surgical" intervention, followed by rehabilitation curing the "diseased" link.

Why we should not speak for the “healthy” essence of the Crisis?

WHAT IS TODAY'S BALANCE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL "GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS"?

The fact is that we witness falling apart hedge funds as well as investment banking institutions such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (global speculators and big money traps) which carry speculative fictitious sales and incur obligations without being subject to financial supervision. They placard rather "electronic cash profits, without cover". That connected chain of banking institutions and funds to mortgage their allegiances, designed in pyramid schemes, began to fell and process continues. And all of them are based primarily on Wall Street, New York, United States, where is the global financial "Mecca". The source emitting the impulses controlling the World (boomeranging back the arrows of crisis retaliation) and where it is objectively is expected to switch back arrows from "boomerang effect" of the revenge of the crisis. This can not be coincidence. For some of the big players, today's crisis is just a stepping stone in their expectations towards huge profits, but it is also a prerequisite for unpredictable responses.

Our claim that the current worldwide financial crisis was "hypothetical" is not associated with the real and destructive dimensions that we observe now. It is comes to our hypothesis, to carry out the scenario - to remove the traces of a very "profitable" criminal scenario by the global financial players. They took advantage of the opportunities provided by the global financial establishment and the lack of adequate global responsible institution to monitor and control such practices.

After all, the events of which we suffer, are they the new World Economic Crisis? Without doubt, it is visible with real shocks, mainly with the major financial capital and related projects. Already several meetings there were held "on the top". But on the "peak" are gathered politicians who hardly have the real will –likelihood to change something, even from their positions as elected leaders of the most powerful and wealthy countries. Without exception, they represent and express the interests not so much of their nations or humanity, but above all the interests of rulers of today's Financial world ("Petroleum World").

Yes, today all of us are witness to the financial stress, the mortgage "domino effect" in the U.S. and elsewhere, "stressed out" dollar, contagious "recession" and creeping psychosis in Europe and other Developed Countries.

But why so quickly fail to remember the unprecedented climb in oil prices during the period May-June 2008? Then, "the bubble" of the oil crisis was so bloated, the price of barrel of oil broke all records, followed by rapid movement in the opposite direction - unrealistically low prices and followed up by today's creeping up prices. Realized was experiment testing "the nerves" of the global public, played out a scenario to check the capacity-limit for the *maximum tolerance of the individuals*. And, again we have to go into the trap of the programmed cycle and to endure the consequences of the subsequent "crisis." *And to "exit" from the crisis with the help of the same Players.*

Realistically and with accountability, we question the quality "*responsible leadership*", with other words whether the current leadership team on the planet, can lead the people out of the swamp of speculation, corruption and pointlessness. It is not a question only for the so-called "Global financial crisis." It is just one visible result for the actions of one incompetent "leadership".

Duty and opportunity for a newly elected President and Government of the United States and the World elite as a whole is to take responsible pragmatic steps, but in a strategic rather than media-populist plan.

Of course, the rest of humanity and countries, including small ones, shall assume their responsibilities.

Hedge funds turned out not to be anything but an instrument for real money traps that simulating speculative transactions, announcing falsified profits and making chain bankruptcies on "until yesterday" powerful companies. Afterward emerge "rescuers" in the face of Yuppie from the funds again to "recover" and then resell or absorb. This way provokes and caused a global financial chaos and insecurity repeating such schemes. *These speculative operations have to meet the task (to dissolve) the responsibility for the largest acutely efficient and quick, criminal financial scheme of the modern world.* The base for all is the money coming from the digging and sale of oil and gas. These funds and the cloning banks become dangerous and for the country where they

are sheltered, where it appears unprepared for the "*boomerang effect*" of rapidly collapsing "*tower*". The first result and an example of this is the "Mortgage" crisis in the U.S., which hit the weakest and insolvent part of the population in the south and in other states, resulting a need for laying off workers and chain reaction of bankruptcies. This led to a rapid and unprecedented wave of left-oriented activation of millions of people in socially disadvantaged sectors (Blacks, new immigrants) who felt insecure and for a first time in their life appeared as an active and decisive political force in the presidential elections in the United States.

We ask ourselves the question, how long the U.S. will be able to withstand public strikes against the American statehood and authority, the first time on "11 September", conducting of "endless wars", and today with the produced financial collapse? *The country of United States was wisely smartly created, it is strong and well organized, but it is obvious that it must first be harmonized with the already "Transformed world". It must awaken for the New Future, because it carries the burden of responsibility not only to the American people.*

The players, maintaining this criminal scheme, so called "global financial crisis" for years find wonderful nutritious environment in the "business" of the greedy oil and gas sheikhs and oligarchs governments serving them. The one who do not reinvest in the technological advances, using minimum-wage laborers absent of any rights, in wild competition, pile up for themselves excess wealth driving the Planet and people in economic chaos and uncertainty. *In June 2008, when the "oil crisis" raged, the price per barrel of oil passed over \$ 140, at the same period the production cost in the Arabian Peninsula for the extraction of one barrel of oil is about 2 dollars.* Created are conditions for induction of natural disasters because of predatory and by now out of control exploitation of natural resources. "The new players," rapidly raised to the financial peak omnivorously swallow everything that can make money, installing or buy power in dozens of countries on the planet. All of a sudden the world has become a hostage to seamlessly into a group of people who live "day for day", without thinking about Human and the Earth - "In the Day After Tomorrow."

DURATION OR PERMANENCY OF THE CRISIS

How long lasting a crisis?

Crises are not normally with a particularly long time-cycle, they are characterized by repetition and are predictable. They are a dynamic process - destructive for what is declining or cannot resist the demand to the necessary changes. During a crisis, there is a redistribution of wealth, there are changes of political doctrines and orientations, but historically ends relatively quickly. Because a movement, may not have only one direction - down. Always comes another cycle in the opposite direction - up. Could be concluded that there is a permanent process in the time where crises is followed by growth.

This is the ordinary motion of human society. But this is only if the rules are followed or when human laws are closer to nature ones. If the balance is violated, then we risk getting into a dangerous cycle of:

WORLD CHAOS

Today's severe financial crisis blossomed in the vicinity of Wall Street. Initially, the symptoms came from the U.S. - the mortgage collapse, tremor of the exchange and collapse of indexes. Followed a chain reaction of related players in Western Europe and the Far East, and gradually in the rest of the world. Financial crisis, in addition to direct losses and damages is causing also psychological ones, through the ensuing panic among large and small players and countries, and vast human masses of the weakest social groups. The last "victims" are billions and usually they hunger in crisis. It is only a short step from here to the road to nowhere of the Chaos.

The crisis hit hardest the positions and prestige of the United States as the most economically and financially powerful country in the world.

However, the world remains in waiting for political decisions by the U.S. government, which historically in other crises has reacted at times quite controversial, but always pragmatically. The scale of the financial crisis significantly impedes the leadership role of the United States. All this, combined with unpopular foreign policy by the late presidential team in Iraq and other places in the world.

The expectations of even hostile to the United States countries are serious. This time decision for the way out of the crisis can not be expected to come out only from Wall Street and the White House. Even the richest countries feel the lack of real financial resources, which is a causal connection with the crisis and have to convince them towards active reformatory actions.

World reserves of mineral and energy resources reached its peak and already descend slowly, resulting in violent and ruthless exploitation with all accompanying problems - environmental, social. The wealthy as well the poor countries are on a crossroad - how and in what way. In the forthcoming raw material crisis, there cannot be only one "guilty", all in the Global world have contributed for the current situation. It would be naive and shortsighted, the countries and leaders to believe that the crisis could pass them by without consequences.

Today's global financial crisis "erupted" few months after the *two-months of unprecedented energy crisis in the spring of 2008*. The Energy crisis is not accompanying, but causes and financial crisis because the basic financial resources in the modern world today come from the mining and sale of conventional energy - oil and gas. And oil and gas are only a part of diminishing resources. And here we can see the "ostrich policy" of the Players. *It is not anymore invisible the reality- we are running out of resources*. In motion are the policy of the teams and politics with responsible analytical expertise thinking, oriented towards the planetary future and satisfactory action, and capable to be accountable.

The World is in front of a choice. *Either all will look in one direction and will seek opportunities in diversity - the joint solution exactly oriented to the future, or we will be directed to accelerate the completion of our earthly affairs, if we "elect" the Chaos. The outcome is only one – implementing of a NEW GLOBAL UNIVERSAL WORLD ORDER*. Of course there is another way ... back, ignoring the pragmatic and global thinking and action...

WORLD IN PHASE OF CATACLYSM

Scientists, at least the one who have pragmatic and technocratic scientific interest, are unanimous that over the last decade the climate on Earth is changing, with diametrically opposing and pole forecasts ranging from “overheating” to “Ice Age”. At the same time, dangerous changes are noticed of the Earth's magnetic field. The density of the magnetic field of individual regions of land tapers, and “thickness” is already one third of the usual and overall during the last 10 years, the field has weakened by 10%. The Earth's magnetic field is in result of and directly related to the liquid metal core of the planet. It protects the flora, fauna and Humans as well as Earth orbiting satellites from intense solar radiation. Periodically the magnetic field changes its orientation, its poles (magnetic) shift its places. Besides the natural causes, scientists are categorical that humans contribute or are the blame for them.

The atmosphere is constantly receives increasing amounts of carbon dioxin, methane, oxides of nitrogen, which is absorbed into it by industrial activities and combustion of fuels (coal, oil, gasoline, etc.). At the same time, increases the aggressive drilling and production of polluting and dangerous for Human chemicals. Because of uncontrolled human abuse of nature, the natural and biological, useful chemical background is changing everyday.

Without being supporters of the apocalyptic scenario for Earth’s future, we believe that there are multiple signals for not as good perspective of the planet and humanity. It is unacceptable to stand in a “waiting” position.

II. ENERGY-RESOURCES WORLD CRISIS OF THE NEAR FUTURE

"The Chinese hieroglyph which describes CRISIS is composed of two characters: one means - a DANGER and the other - OPPORTUNITY!..."

PREVENTIVE ACTIONS OF UN IN THE 60's OF THE LAST CENTURY

Even in the midst of the past century, the world's scientists and experts provided to the United Nations, data for the condition of the resources of the planet's Earth-surface, which it is only ¼ of the planet’s total area. That data without a doubt has established that the chemical elements of Mendeleev's table with industrial importance to humanity, including oil and gas are about to be

extinguished. The analytical conclusions of the scientists then showed that by the middle of this century, the world would come to an acute and total resource crisis. (In connection to it is convened the 3rd UN Maritime Law convention where for a first time in modern history raised for discussion the acute problems in the earth's resources and the need to build the foundations for a New Legal and Economic order. This step of UN was made with the adoption and now in force - UN Maritime Convention on the Law of the Sea, which drew attention of humankind to the resources of the Worlds Oceans and the bottom.

AGGRESSIVE ACCELARATION FOR EXPLOITATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

In the last two - three decades, present man almost did not change anything to score some momentum in the global order of utilization of resources, their processing and environmental protection. New documents were voted for in the UN, such as the Kyoto Protocol, but their implementation by the world's major polluters becomes "Achilles heel" (the control of governments by the "players") in their policy.

On the other hand, demand and production of new and more quantities of oil, iron, copper, gas, aluminum did not diminished, but grow-up. *Global energy industries demand more and more raw materials. According to actual data of the International Energy Agency (IEA), the world is facing a severe oil crisis, this also applies to natural gas. In 2030, the global demand will grow by 45% and prices with at least \$ 200 per barrel of oil. IEA urges the world to hold a "green revolution" in order to decarbonizes and defossilize its economy. Simultaneously, the European Commission announced the findings in a report about the depletion of oil fields and its implications. The conclusion is a transfer to highly effective low-carbon energy technologies.* Unfortunately, they are not equitably distributed among the countries between the states and it leads to confrontations and reliance to each other.

In such conflicting environment the most influential will be the large transnational companies, especially those extracting oil and gas (*Eight of the top ten richest companies in the world for 2008, according to Fortune magazine are Petroleum Companies*). What direction they are looking at and on whose side are they?

BACK STAGE PLAYERS "PULL THE STRINGS" OF THE ENERGY POLICY

This category of "*invisible players*" have tied governments of number of countries, some of which work directly for criminal corporate interests. This gave a birth to oligarchic regimes in number of "democratic" countries, formerly belonging to the "*World socialist system*."

All of these ruling "*democracies*", as well as similar analogues in other parts of the world are constant pulsators of crisis and instability. Also, there are countries and governments, with qualities of "oil and gas players," realize "geoenergetic racketeering" to dozens of countries and the world energy market.

Their activities are widespread - "sponsoring" crime and terrorism, "lobbying", "financing" meetings of countries and companies for creation of gas and other alliances and cartels in distant parts of the world, motivated by political and other destructive purposes. All these "activities" are symptoms of poor health of the global economic, business, finance and policy, and the overall global security.

GAS DEPENDENCY BY THE EU FROM RUSSIA MESSENGER OF ENERGY CRISIS

In January 2009 between Russia and Ukraine faired an incident about the transit of gas. This incident soon grew into a gas crisis with European reverberation. As a result of the "gas crisis", the hardest impact was on the economies and populations in the periphery of the EU, until recently "allies" of the Soviet empire. The problem is multiple - economic, resource and acutely political.

"The conflict" between Russia and Ukraine regarding the gas price and transit is essential but peripheral. Russia, perhaps justifiably likes to impose "European" prices for Ukraine, but wants to pay the "transit" to Ukraine according to Soviet standards.

In Ukraine, as in the remaining former socialist countries, the local intermediary firm is a company determined and with majority ownership of Gazprom. But the dedicated land for the gas pipelines and gas pipelines are owned by the State, in this case of Ukraine. Identical is the scheme with already European Bulgaria.

Practical capacity of unrealized projects - Blue Stream, Nord Stream and South Stream is 30 million cubic meters/year (MCM/year), or a total of 90 MCM/year, whilst total capacity of the existing transit pipeline through Ukraine today is 190 MCM/year! This existing transit route is the shortest route to Europe. In solving the "crisis", the EU as a whole and individual Member States visibly did not approach to the problems on the basis - common policy, strategy and interests.

This enables Gazprom (Russia) to wedge itself in the economies of affected countries using the lack of a common European strategy and firm response. *Unexplainable is the conduct of a common union of countries - the EU with common law, and "rules for everything", to permit in an outside caused crisis – each one to save individually itself.*

Also there is something illogical in the conduct of Russia. For her, the intensive export of hydrocarbon energy (oil and gas) is not just advantageous "seasonal" trade, but a strategy for presence in the not so particularly stable Eastern European countries (until recently in its surroundings) and in the EU as a whole for decades. The first round in the match Russia - Ukraine (Europe) ended without a winner, but in professional fighting, the rounds are numerous. And that means that we can expect a permanent energy crisis (war) with unclear implications.

There is another obscure but important question in the "gas war". Whether in the short term, Russia is going to be able to provide sufficient density of pressure for transit through its borders? May Russia "itself" be a guarantor for its numerous and long term agreements with Europe and adjacent to its energy trading list of States for regular deliveries? Probably, no. Russia (Gazprom) is critically dependent on quantities of gas, which it receives from recently vassal states of the Middle East.

EU LACK A CLEAR POLICY AND STRATEGY IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY

European energy policy today is contrariety policy. Old and new EU member states sign separate agreements one by one. Russia periodically (especially in crises) put pressure on governments to takeover ownership of the routes and pipelines. *In the Eastern European member states, the governments*

have difficulties in controlling the energy sector, there are particularly strong oligarchic units (often with representatives in government who allow nobody near to the "pipe" and contracts with Gazprom or Lukoil.

There is another likely scenario. The aggressive energy policy of Russia to cause a boomerang effect. *EU still one day may "awaken" and start to work for itself, to "recall" its Euro-Atlantic membership.* Most likely, the EU will reduce its energy dependence to Russia, which will lead to serious problems for the Russian economy, fully planned for exports of energy resources.

IN WHAT DIRECTION RUSSIA IS HEADED

It is obvious that the Kremlin players will have to rethink with time their economic and energy strategy. Russia for too long period is mainly energy exporter but the huge profits are not invested in the most proper way. Stretched on two continents, but this is not always advantageous. Russia will have to clarify for itself, but also for its partners from Europe and the United States it's civilized and economic priorities, to state where it is and in what direction is looking. Today Europe and the U.S. are fair to Russia, treating it as a partner, compromising when differences are present.

At the same time, the policy "divide and control", attributed in decades to another European country, recently "successfully" practiced by Russia through its "energy strategy" towards EU. For example intensive attempts for bilateral negotiations in the energy field as well as other fields with Germany. A similar process of "inserting a wedge" between the allies going in the realization of the "new" energy relationship between Russia and Turkey (permanently "waiting" for EU membership). French EDF intends to join the hypothetical project "South Stream". These energy "axes" Moscow-Berlin and Moscow-Ankara-Rome, perhaps Moscow- Paris will hardly develop to the expected end by the Moscow's elite, but will undoubtedly be used for preventive "pressure" against countries such as Bulgaria and foremost EU as a whole.

In this strange and showy provocative behavior of Russia, logical questions are "On where is Russia headed" and "What will be the answer of Europe?" Together with "transparent" attempt by Russia for energy "overcome" "capture" state by state of the EU countries, is going European process for

consolidation of the EU policy aimed to deter its aggressive energy policy. There is something illogical and disingenuous in behavior of countries that participate in such energy games. These bi-trilateral interstate arrangements, again resembles the "ostrich policy". Today, not only Europeans, we hope that also the Russians recognize that this *"policy of setting traps" accompanied by loud bands and media*, will hardly lead to deter a future total crisis. The militant energy policy of the Moscow leaders, is contradictory to their yesterday's call for the need of *energy security*?

It would be good if the forgetful politicians remember that in the critical periods for Russia at the end of the last century, U.S. and EU stood behind Russia, when its people starved. Today, Russia makes "attempts" to sabotage the unified Euro-Atlantic policy, when at the same time the EU entertain "one day policies", with no addressee. In addition, at the same time Russia is waiting on line for leadership in Asia. Kremlin leaders needs to answer to themselves to one other question, "Russia is in Europe, or in Asia, regardless of geographical realities, and which policy is more predictable –the Euro-Atlantic or the Asian. In the last Century, Hitler was trying to play on "two fronts" and history remembers this painful experiment. And the lessons should be for the winners and the losers.

HYPOTHESIS: RUSSIA, WHY NOT IN A EURO-ATLANTIC PACT?

Along with the confrontational and provocative steps of Russia towards the EU, we observe some sensible steps in the military sphere. Russia cooperated with the US President in some of the NATO projects. In the "Transformed World" would be useful for the political elites to take the path of realism and pragmatism, and to seek solutions even to the most conflicting issues. It is good for the world to see what the strategic visions of Russia are for 2025. U.S. made this step and not only once. The world would become different if Russia rethink its role and make its move. At the same time, the "leader" of Africa and the Arab Jamahiriya, proposed voting in the UN for military integration of Africa into an African "NATO". Such idea is unlikely to make the Africans replete with food, "Somalian pirates" again to become fishermen, and the world more peaceful. *And why NATO not to be reformed from the North Atlantic to the Euro-Atlantic Union?*

III. THERE IS A NEED FOR NEW POLICY AND TAKING THE RESPONSIBILITIES IN TIME OF CRISIS

Crises and bankruptcies were always accompanied by opportunities and success. They always move forward in time, but in two different directions. The crisis in one kind of policy leads to activation of other new ideas and inevitable growth of a new policy. Not always the "new policy" is constructive, it is possible to slow down the development, also establishment of aggressive totalitarian regimes (fascism, communism), and even to wars. However after that comes "enlightenment." And in the "most hopeless" historic moments and situations are born insights that grow up into ideas that build successful new pragmatism, charting a *"new human future."*

Historically, this conclusion can be illustrated by two human "steps" made in terms of tensions between the countries due to their struggle for planetary redistribution of wealth and having only one scenario - war and despair. A glance into the future and pragmatic approach and a decision is another way to get out of the crisis.

THE FIRST STEP

1. HUGO VAN GROTSIUS, Dutch jurist in the early XVII century, around 1608, proclaims it brief Charter, the principle "MARE LIBERUM" (FREE SEA). Or, States are obliged to maintain a peaceful status of the World Ocean, such as free, open sea to determine to the benefit of all mankind. And for coastal States to remain one marine league (5.56 km or 3 sea miles), that much as is one gun shot. And this measure remained for centuries the length of the territorial sea. Causes for "insight" of the Dutchman is simple. It was located in the surrounding world. It has begun an aggressive implementation of the "New World", sought domination of the separate countries, not only over land but also over many seas. Venice claimed for the Adriatic Sea, England for the North Sea, Turkey for the Black Sea, etc. Chaos was only a step away. Modest lawyer with good foresight and make the first step that rearranges the first international legal order, create a *New fair order of navigation in the*

World Ocean. Anyone who wishes to use the oceans and seas for peaceful commerce and fishing, to do that according to certain rules.

THE SECOND STEP

2. ARVID PARDO, Maltese lawyer, diplomat and representative of its country in the United Nations, after about 360 years in the middle of the twentieth century initiates the first step for regulation of a *New world order to absorb the World Ocean*.

The primary reason for this idea is the finding of world scientists and experts (in) from the UN that the resources of planet Earth are on the way out as a result of uncontrolled exploitation of mineral resources, they predict their end at the latest by the middle of the XXI century. As a result of his vision and activity, as well as a number of scholars and diplomats from various countries of highly polarized world then was adopted by the UN the pragmatic UN Convention for Maritime Law. In this way it was created *a New World legal order for developing the resources of the World Ocean, its floor and its subsoil* for this century. The idea of Hugo van Grotius was established and developed, not only regarding the World Ocean, but also to its bed and subsoil, and for protection the marine environment. *The second step* was made.

THE THIRD STEP?

3. Humankind and its sons in the conditions of nascent comprehensive global crisis - resource, economic, financial and environmental, should do and are on the verge of its required *Third step*... We are living already in the "Transformed World". The World is changed, but is not rearranged to a new model... *The report "Global Trends - 2025" did not indicate that there is a basis on which a Human may continue to exist, under the current model.*

THE WAY OUT OR THE END

It is mandatory for Humankind to choose, to stand firmly on the ground and continue along the path, or to stand still and to sink into a swamp of mental inertia and inaction, which leads to the end. What is the way towards the new “first step” of the human?

IV. DECISIONS FOR DETER AND EXIT FROM CRISIS

Without a doubt, prior to making a decisive step towards the New Universal World Order, there must be developed and implemented preventative program directions to stop the creeping crisis, to redirect available resources as the basis for a "new future". *The future is not a future, if it is the repetition of the past into the present day.* The crisis today is financial, but tomorrow it may become comprehensive economic and raw materials. When the crisis shapes up, primarily as a resource one, it may be - the beginning of the end! What kind of hypothetical approaches to prevent and exit, and decisions of the crisis, seem realistic and necessary:

- Preparation of planetary comprehensive analysis to determine the actual condition and prospects of Resources - human, natural, developed useful from the depths of the Earth, for each one country, continent, World's oceans and the Planet as a whole, including and the nearby Space;
- Adoption of *Strategy of Investment accents*, with purpose - deterrence and crisis prevention by - expansion and diversification of new economic solutions, strategic relocation of investments, investment in advanced technologies - new green energy, ecology, waste recycling, speeding development and implementation of new technologies, long-term humanization of the economy;
- Investing in training and requalification of large unemployed humans masses in order to deal with new technologies, linking the level of investment (quality) and capacity of national investment object;
- Investing in the health of living nature - flora, fauna and human;
- Technology assessment for the stage of technological development aimed at the utilization of new green/clean energy and related industries;

- Accelerated development of new technologies for the utilization and mass exploitation of green/clean energy sources such as - solar, hydrogen, marine currents, ocean tides, wind, geothermal and others;
- Setting the grounds for a New World Economy - Solar, Hydrogen, to supporting and protecting the life on Earth;
- Adoption of new principles for building a Global financial banking system, which are bound by the new economy based on New Energy and a New World Legal order;
- Taking the path of refining of today's "imperfect" Global world or drowning into existing schemes of temporary and precarious alliances of individual states.

V. CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT OF GLOBAL RISKS AND LEADERSHIP

LEADERSHIP. LEADERSHIP COUNTRIES AND ALLIANCES TODAY AND TOMORROW

Implementation of “first phase – deceleration and coming out from the crisis” undoubtedly will be accompanied by fierce resistance by a substantial part of today's governing "elite" on the planet. This will present an opportunity, for new leaders to surface. Leaders cultivated and capable to manage in conditions of crisis of major proportion and in areas of the origin of problems. Leaders are not only necessary on the top.

ONE LEADER RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ENTIRE PLANET OR PARTNERSHIP SYSTEM OF LEADERS

Today's global financial crisis undoubtedly is a result of greed and insufficient or lack of sense for social responsibility among the leaders in the global financial and political elite.

At a G-20 meeting in London early in 2009, it was determined that there is a need for about 5 trillion dollars for “plugging up” the Wall Street's financial holes. This leads to intensifying "printing" of dollars by the U.S. Federal Reserve. In a symposium in Paris with a topic "New world, new capitalism", European leaders adopted the idea for major "overhaul" of the current

philosophy of the capitalist system. On the other hand, the U.S. President Barack Obama, stated in London that the Planet needs a "new world order".

IN THE MEETING OF "G - 20" IN PITTSBURG, MAY BE....." WE REVERSED THE WORLDS ECONOMY FROM THE BRINK OF DESASTER"

With these words, President Obama, expressed some optimism with regard to the outcome of the "G – 20" meeting on September 26, 2009 in Pittsburgh. "G - 8" decided to conduct an "overhaul" of the rules governing finance and economic cooperation, by expanding the number of the issuing States (entitled to vote), to the next step - 20 players. Or, *First* the members of the "G - 8" will deal primarily with international relations and foreign policy and the *Second*, "G - 20" will deal with economic and financial matters. "Decisions" in the "historic" agreement, propose - to elevate "G - 20" to the center of the joint efforts to ensure sustainable recovery and to avoid financial weaknesses led to the crisis and establishment of (committee for) a financial stability, are unfortunately only desirable and cumbersome. The main players of "G - 8" vote for "decisions" for own personal success. Yes, the world has moved away "step to disaster", but are the leaders "aware" where is the next step, avoiding repetition of the past. From the "decisions" taken it is obvious that the leaders are well intentioned and concerned that their "solutions" are not bound for a long-term, but are accepted for necessity. Until yesterday 8, and today 20 countries! *This is simply spreading around responsibility. Is it likely that 20 leaders really have the confidence and capability to save the Planet? It is hardly the exact global pragmatic and strategic decision that the World needs.* On the other hand should be given the necessary praise fro the achieved agreements by "G-20". *And the worst agreement is better than the alternative arrangement for a political "nothing".*

THE WORLD DO NOT NEEDS OVERHALL, BUT A "NEW ORDER", OTHER THAN THE STATUS QUO

Opponents to the United States, as well as the idea of a "New world order" connect the United States of America with the creating of a "New world order". But not only the U.S. state, needs a "New world order". In addition, the "change" cannot be only an American responsibility. The attempt to "load up" automatically with solely responsibility only one country - United States, for the present crisis is not a solution. This reflex response is not objective and not the

result of an analysis of realities and search for perspective. Yes, it is normal to raise a question about "leadership" and responsibility for the planet into a conflicting world in times of crisis. Or who will be leader of the already "Transformed" world? Leaders are not "appointed" or "replaced" after "expiration of certain period", they are born.

Who, is then, the "new" pretender for sole global leadership with due responsibilities in one "Transformed World"? Let him (or her) come out in the open to emerge and take responsibility. In previous and today's world, things are not so simple, and they are not the same.

TODAYS WORLD LEADER IS U.S., BUT IN "TRANSFORMED WORLD"?

The World leader, apparently strongly disputed, however, is - the United States. Responsibilities for the United States have never been more serious and they are probably quite clear to the responsible American political elite. Those are *responsibilities, not only to one country, not to group of Allied nations, but also to the peoples of the world. Determination that we are already we are living in a "Transformed World" is not simply a fact, but admission for realization of responsibility and awareness and possible consequences.*

Already we are living in a "Transformed World" and we cannot stay in Hamlet's posture "*to be, or not to be*". This proved reality, for now stays unnoticed and misunderstood. The World remained in waiting, wonder and in doubt. The World again wants America (USA) to demonstrate how to work, live and thrive in today's World. Unidirectional expectation for the step to the "decision" is not realistic. Common efforts are needed. This time there is no provision for a historical pause for reflection.

During the 30-ies of the last century, in a time and immediately after its first inauguration as a president of US, Franklin D. Roosevelt took monumental measures to counter and recover the country from "depression". System of measures, known as the "New Deal" brings the country out from a state of depression. This "New Deal" of President Roosevelt largely adapts the economic model of John Keynes's, which provides for the government to impose financial and monetary measures to mitigate the recession and come out from depression. The "New Deal" of Democate Roosevelt appears to succeed. *The result is*

obvious after the appearance of appropriate leader who takes responsibility, act and succeeds.

The new "altered" responsibilities will impose and show a *new earth-man*, as you would expect will naturally show and the "*new leaders*" and how they are able to lead the planet to its "*new future*".

Max Weber said: *"The organizations that will survive are those who managed to push through a leader's behavior in all levels of the system, not those who suggest that leaders exist only on the top ..."*

The problem today is - in understanding of leadership, management, commitment and ability to manage responsibilities. In a Global World today and in the future, there cannot be only one leader - a country or a person. This function as a sole proprietorship already is impossible and wrong. *Leadership today must be a shared partners responsibility or "leadership system"*. Responsibility for leadership should not be borne by one entity - state or person. In "Transformed World", is raised the question of dividing responsibility among a group of countries, some of which have never thought it was possible to be in such role of responsibility, and others that just need to get off from the solitary pedestal of greatness.

Planetary "leadership" has multi-facet aspects and needs to be perceived from a different angle. In the world we live in, we talk about "leadership" by the UN, major powers, the shared leadership responsibility of the groups of countries, the United States, and from there the necessity of "New World order".

The hypothesis that to some degree the leadership should be shared among more countries that are separate or international institution is not going to solve the problem by itself. Before that, the governing body in the "Transformed World", will have to change its philosophy, it must be in harmony with future realities and new strategies for global government and leadership. Mr. Henry Kissinger in front of newspaper "Independent" says that *"The financial crisis and political practice are closely linked each other, partly because during the economic boom between economics and political systems in the world arises divide. The economic world is globalized; its institutions cover the entire world and act according to rules, which provide self-regulating global market. The*

financial collapse disperse this mirage and unearthed evident lack of global institutions which to mitigate the shock and to turn the course of things."

In today's World – the Global Finances, the Global Economy are the dominant reality, but there is missing a new and efficient Global Political Structure – Regulator. *Such a global regulatory institution is the answer.* Unfortunately, today's Organization of the United Nations is acting after the fact, cumbersome, without an idea for prevention and qualitatively new type of leadership, which acutely is needed now. Today's UN lacks power and instrumentation (legally binding) for the imposition of will. *UN stands somewhere aside from the acute problems caused by the persistent economic crisis.*

The responsible leadership, the governing power, needs a new pragmatic and honest philosophy, especially when Humanity is on the verge of Global crisis. Leaders must be honest, clear and capable. It is necessary *to be raised the TRUTH, as FAIT in POWER, rather than worshiping POWER as the TRUTH!* This is the only way the leadership system will work harmoniously and successfully to solve acute problems creeping up Global crisis.

VI. ACCELERATED RESTRUCTURING AND GLOBALISING OF THE ALLIANCES AND EXPENDING THE HUMAN AND PLANETARY SAFETY LIKE A PARAMOUNT TASK

The alternatives are limited. In today's Global World, are present numerous interstate and global alliances and organizations. Unfortunately, they were founded on conjuncture economic and long outdated principles. This problem applies particularly and correctly to United Nations, the Organization that has already exhausted its functions for purposes relevant in 1945. Of course, the UN is still an important and genuine international legal entity today, but the organization cannot continue to be a formal observer one of the Transformed and crisis world. The Organization continues to be responsible, to function and fulfill out its duties and responsibility supervise the observance of many rules and conventions that it created. "Transformed World" is already in another dimension, which is not inhabited with the problems and the people who have lived after the World War II.

Compromising of the global schemes recently, especially in the financial world along with international relations, trigger a response of spontaneous alliance of countries based on religious and political foundations of the past. Terrorists and Mafia structures are consolidating and expanding. *All this is happening in environment of a total disregard of Morality, Law and God.* Highlighted are only the aspirations of the "players" for quick profits and taking advantage of the vacuum created by the apparent confrontation of "great powers", the powerlessness of the "leaders".

Expansion of the so-called "sea piracy", off the coast of Somalia and other places of the World Ocean in recent years, behind which "is looking" the Terrorism is not accidental peripheral phenomenon. This "phenomenon" is a symptom of ailment of the systems for global security. It is the result of destabilization of the global and national institutions and an underestimation of the problems associated with multi-facet aspects of terrorism. Seems incredible that international organizations, including the UN, NATO, the G - 8", "G - 20" and the "great powers" for a decade are unable to cope with the modern pirates in a limited region of the World ocean, crossed by important intensive strategic maritime trade route. This is one of the main trade routes linking the rich "North" and poor "South". This symptom - "helplessness" of the institutions is multiplying dangerously.

Another illustration of the "helplessness" - the new *oligarchic Eastern-European mafia* growing up and displacing the traditional mafia in Europe, even in the United States. This new type of "international mafia" is fighting for the official seizure of power in not only one country and already grasping positions of government. *The ambitions of the "new mafia" are as high as "direct participation" in power* resulting from long-term "fruitful" cooperation with oligarchic governments. Observed is a crisis in the effectiveness of police and other special forces of several countries. Insufficiently developed in International perspective are the relations of the institutions dealing with the fight against crime and terrorism. International coordination, exchange of information and analytical prognosis for national and international crime and terrorism are insufficient, *used* are strategies from the "yesterday's World".

The “*lethargic condition*” by the EU, NATO, U.S., G-8 concerning international organized crime and terrorism, can lead the World in the near future to another unknown phenomenon of the crisis. If the World hypothetically is in a condition of chaos – *it is likely to follow up uncontrolled “pandemic” of organized crime and terrorism.*

SOME NEW PRINCIPLES FOR CREATING INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND ALLIANCES OF STATES.

In the context of intense period of crisis, experienced by the current world, purely hypothetical are possible numerous scenarios for a new interstate regrouping of the key countries and alliances. Undoubtedly, “the road to the truth” will not be easy and simple. Courageous “third step”, will be preceded by various local (regional) attempts to resolve problems. Maturity of the states (political elites) is not equal, in most cases the objectives are multi-directional and with short-term horizon, but the steps will be made. In the creation of interstate alliances small or larger should be laid the foundation for the assurance of longevity and its future. Those alliances, or the majority of them, should be created not with the goal of destruction but just the opposite. *They must be in the same “system” as the links of a chain to become part of one comprehensive, universal future world of state alliances and humankind.*

NEW GLOBAL INTEGRATION BASED ON NEW CRITERIA AND CHALLENGES

Existing - UN, international organizations actually responsible and meaningful global forces, each particular country and the world political, technological and cultural elite on the planet should unite their efforts and resources to *rearrange the human House – it is only one for now.*

STRATEGIC AND TEMPORARY (PURPOSE) ALLIANCES

Today in planetary plan, there are numerous state alliances, which are made their activity bureaucratic and are based on old principles and not by current interests. The criteria of these alliances are from the era and the status quo, fashioned after World War II.

In the “*Transformed world*”, existing and future planetary and regional alliances will probably be built based on new

CRITERIAS:

ECONOMIC:

- Related to the availability and necessity of adopting new resources and sources of energy that will form the basis of a New Global Economy. Whether it will again be sources placed in limited areas (countries) on the planet, the ocean bottom, or those sources will be totally accessible and available - if the base is a solar and hydrogen economy;
- Combined, in other words based on current energy resources (for limited time) and gradually (accelerating) moving in utilization of new energies;

NON-ECONOMIC (POLITICAL):

- temporary -political, currently existing alliances based on a "status quo" which will attempt to prove and enforce policies and practices, coded in the past and interest in the near future. Those will be alliances with "*short strategy*" and "*short memory*" and (some of them) not without the help of crime-ridden mafia oligarchic structures;
- Ideologically - Religious, one particularly dangerous category state alliances, which will attempt to impose a "panacea" (political or religious fiction) upon their nations, why not and in planetary plan with the help of fictional totalitarian dictatorial scheme imposed over poor nations, lacking elementary rights and with zombie mentality;
- Restructuring, modernization and adaptation of existing alliances with the new realities with options for their expansion;
- New global and regional alliances to serve the New World Order for the benefit of the Humankind.

*Here are some possible rearranging of countries that can be considered taken in one or another situation represented purely schematically, but loaded with a philosophy for "survival" or searching for a radically new future for the planet ***:*

I. USA + CANADA;

II. USA + CANADA + MEXICO

III. USA + CANADA + MEXICO + EUROPEAN UNION (CHRISTIAN WORLD-I)

IV. USA + CANADA + MEXICO + EU + UKRAINE +RUSSIA (CHRISTIAN WORLD-II)

V. USA + CANADA + MEXICO + EU + LATINO AMERICA (SOME STATES)

VI. EU + UKRAINE RUSSIA

VII. MEDITERRANEAN, BALKAN, PYRENEAN OR SCANDINAVIAN STATE UNIONS

VIII. RUSSIA + CHINA

IX. CHINA + INDIA

X. USA + CANADA + AUSTRALIA (SAXON-ENGLAND MODEL)

XI. USA + CANADA + EU + AUSTRALIA (CHRISTIAN WORLD-III)

XII. UNION OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES

XIII. UNION (ISLAMIC) OF ARAB COUNTRIES

XIV. UNION OF ISRAEL AND SOME ARAB COUNTRIES

XV. UNION OF AFRICAN COUNTRIES

XVI. NEW PRINCIPLES OF TRANSFORMATION (INTERNAL) OF THE FEDERAL STATES (USA, RUSSIA, ETC...)

XVII. PLANETARY NEW GLOBAL UNION, UN (AFTER WORLD CHAOS, UPHEAVAL OR AT COMMON SENSE)

XVIII. NEW AGREEMENT FOR THE UN, BASED NWER and NSER, INTEGRATION OF HUMANKIND (INDIVIDUALS)

**** The presented hypothetical schemes of potential civil alliances and some of his proposals, neighboring the imagination, aims to show the reality and absurdity, but also hope for a better future for a new pragmatic states and men. The choice is in our hands.*

VII. NEW POLICY FOR MANAGEMENT OF RISKS AND PREVENTIVE MEASURES TO PREVENT REVERSAL OF PROCESSES

The governing today energies, force planetary rules in the area of economy, finance, mass feeding, health, reproduction of living nature and humans, ecology, and international relations. The question is, how long?

MANAGEMENT OF THE GLOBAL RISKS

In a global world, arranged in a global economy and especially in realized global financial system, there is a danger and from global risks. Global risks are in the area of economy and finance and related nourishing of the population and its delivery as well as the role of energy and last but not least - environmental risks in the ecological prospective. During 2008 in the World Economic Forum, the conclusion of the report "Global Risks 2008" was categorical that global risks will be difficult to manage. That question is "*Who in the global world owns the risk, in other words what is the institution or organization responsible for the stability in the world? Namely, over the next five years should be taken most of the political, economic and investment decisions in the fight for climate change*"... and not only for that. "The Conclusion: *to cope with adverse external effects at the global level we need international cooperation*, as an international forum of government officials from around the world, responsible for risk management. Problems between individual countries can be solved on a bilateral basis... political and economic uncertainty will continue to be decisive. In economic terms, the period of exceptional growth, may be is something from the past... The world is becoming more dependent place, but at the same time even more rarely found are those people and countries that engage themselves in global risks."

LINKING OF RISKS

The earth's resources, which underpin the world economy (the economy), determines its orientation, its strength and weaknesses. Global economy and global financial system constitute a system of "*connected vessels*". From here follows patterns for "*the linkage of risks*". Therefore, as a consequence, the most sensitive from an economic point of view are the regular financial risks.

During past decade, the world enjoyed an unprecedented economic growth and level of stability. But after 2007 started the liquidity crisis, and came 2008 originally with poor prospects, followed by the present crisis. The Global scheme of economics and finance, when in rise, facilitate linkages to production and trade. Some contradicting economies "*until yesterday*" in times of stability, somehow jump start to work by from "itself" as a result of mutually beneficial "global rules". *However during intensified integration processes in the global economy, vulnerability to disruptions, such as "supply" is increasing.*

Known example is when in 1999 the price of semiconductors in planetary plan is doubled up due to earthquake in Taiwan. The risks are obvious, for example for EU, in its almost complete dependence on one gas supplier. And simultaneously the EU and the United States postpone needed investments for the energy sector, since there are no clear new international rules for harmful emissions. Expected "rules" are actually only a step towards complete prohibition of harmful gases. That is why the *EU, USA and in particularly in high degree China, are due to invest in the new energies and before the "rules" are in force.*

In the geopolitical aspect: the numerous terrorist attacks with chemical and conventional (for now non-nuclear) weapons, the US-Iran conflicts, Afghanistan, US-North Korea's, poor situation in Iraq and Palestine and others, illustrate the linkage of the risks in a global world.

From an ecological point of view, because of climate change and observed extreme weather conditions during the next 10 years, countries around the Gulf of Mexico, India, China, Bangladesh are expected to have increased heat waves, drought and destruction of crops, water shortages, cyclones, earthquakes, floods from Mississippi, to Yangtze, Thames and Rhine.

This not so easy perspective is transferring to social conditions: transfer of infection from animal population to humans, an increase of infectious diseases such as AIDS and others in regions of Southeast Asia and Russia.

Increasing the degree of technological risks: attack or systemic failure of critical informational infrastructure resulting a "domino effect" in reference to water transport, banking and finance and management of disasters and accidents.

Or risk is being globalized: already is formed a network of interdependent risk events. Phenomenon of *"risk" makes pressure bound by its connected consequences, and they are carried in chain from region to region.*

THE THIRD STEP

"MODEL - 2009"

VIII. STRATEGIC STAGES OF PLANETARY TRANSFORMATION

Accents (stages) for strategic rearrangement of the rules on Earth, which cannot be jumped over are in three directions. Creating rules for New Energy Order, the New Economics and Finance Order and the New World (Legal) Order. The sequence of stages of realization is conditional, but it is logical to focus the strategy by building the New World Energy Order. Introduction of new energies in the economy and lifestyle of the people will lead to a qualitatively new economy that will require new financial rules and from there - a New Economic and Financial Order. Those two phases will be developed in parallel and interconnected, and the common objective should be - deter and prevent cyclical economic and financial crises. Pragmatic strategic approach in implementation of these steps will lead to inevitable need to build legal and institutional grounds of the New World Order.

NEW WORLD ENERGY ORDER (NWEO)****

STAGES OF STEP-BY-STEP MODEL:

1. PERFORMING OF THE CURRENT MODEL AND ARRANGEMENTS

It is a must at this stage to stand (up) on the current planetary Model for a legal regime for exploration and exploitation of natural resources (including oil and gas) by the United Nations apparatus. Regardless of the crisis that engulfed the universal global institutions, it is evident that we have to trust to the UN and the established rules that are designed in order to prevent chaotic inter-state conflicts.

**** The Model is described in details in "Fifth Scenario", www.eurobalkanconsult.com

2. SETTING UP THE NEW GOALS

- * Activation and involvement of "global players" in the construction and control of NWEO, there cannot be used resources and dividends of the common heritage, without participation.
- * Establishment of a harmonized regional and planetary energy networks for extraction, production and transfer of clean energy.
- * Creation of planetary Strategic Fund resources and new energy at the UN.
- * Announcement through UN – the strategic resources of the planet as “common property and heritage of all mankind.”
- * Accelerated and prioritized alignment of energy to cleaner natural terrestrial and cosmic sources and gradually building a fundamentally new "Solar Energetic".
- * Building a system of international rules for the harmonization of national legal systems, corresponding to NWEO.

3. PRINCIPLES OF FINANCIAL PLANNING, PRIORITIES OF INTERESTS AND COMPENSATORY MECHANISMS

1. The idea of New World Energy Order, does not have the task to bankrupt global corporations and individual countries involved in the present way of exploration, exploitation and production of energy.
2. Assumption of implementation and monitoring of NWEO by the United Nations will save a huge financial resources needed to create a new specialized institution, and will use past experience and regulatory instruments of the Organization.
3. The financial resources of energy corporations, as well as the budgets of individual countries and the World Organization (UN) should be planned and redirected to a safe technologies and simultaneously to develop a special plan for investor’s compensation.
4. "Oil players” will have to invest a substantial part of their financial potentials in technologies for - "new energy". In return should be granted

long-term tax and other incentives, if long-term contractual commitments are embraced and after purchase of concessions (license) rights.

5. To be produced comparative financial and technological analysis, linked and to address budget expression in two directions to absorb resources - clean energy and ocean floor.

6. To be produced scheme and provide a separate compensation plan budget for the development of countries with weak economies, and those poor in natural resources.

4. OFFICIAL INITIATIVES OF UN

The Secretariat of the World Organization to initiate an accelerated pragmatic action plan, prepare and present a package of initiatives to the General Assembly and the Governments of Member States in the following areas:

1. EXTRAORDINARY SESSION of the UNGA in relation to the problems associated with global warming, climate, resources and global energy (new energies);
2. Adopting of MORATORIUM on mining and exploitation of oil and gas and other minerals from the bottom of the Arctic. (Decision, Resolution of UNGA and UN Security Council);
3. Voting for SPECIAL STATUS of the ARCTIC (similar to that of Antarctica);
4. SCHEDULING THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON PROBLEMS OF POLLUTION AND NECESSITY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW WORLD ENERGY ORDER ENERGY (NWEO) which to be ended with the adoption of UNIVERSAL UN conventions in this regard;
5. Creation of a budget at the UN for resources and new technologies in energetics;
6. Creation of a permanent body in the UN - Committee for construction and management of the NEW WORLD ENERGY ORDER;
7. Establishment of National Coordinating Committees on the activity of NWEO for individual Member states.

No doubt, today, states, international organizations and directly involved in the energy business corporations analyze the perspectives of world energetic. But "global players" have to change the strategies. Planetary energy future based on oil, coal and gas in the near future is likely to fall into heavy crisis due to the ever-dwindling yields from the depths of Earth in particular, as well as the other resources important to the global economy.

В резултат на усвоявянето, развитието и масовизирането на технологиите за производство на Новите чисти енергии, ще се стигне до необходимостта от Нов световен икономически ред, Нова политика, Нов световен ред за новите хора , на един Нов променен свят.

As a result of use, development and massive use of technologies for production of new clean energies will lead to the need for new global economic order, new policies, new world order for the new people, of a new transformed world.

NEW ECONOMIC WORLD ORDER (NEWO)

Until solving "the problem" with planetary resources and to the greatest degree of energy ones, the world will "linger" between past and future. In today's planet conjuncture in the areas of economy and finance we will continue to witness the "reorganization", "rescue" and "suspensory" intervention of states and international financial institutions, the G-7, G-8, G-20, etc. "Elitist formats". All these "interventions", "injections" can not "cure" the world's economy. Such "remedial" operations, financial injections can be adopted only as part of the transition, but in terms of "tsaytnot" (end of specified time).

Today's global financial institutions (International Monetary Fund, International Bank for Reconstruction and Development - World Bank) were created as a result of the Bretton Woods Agreement of 1944.

The main purpose of this "Agreement" is *the first attempt of the western allies (at the end of World War II) to put in order the postwar world by introducing new financial order. Want to establish relationships between currency and international payment systems and suggest a modified model back to the "gold standard" through the "convertibility", as a step towards restoring and revitalizing the postwar world economy.*

Or "globalization" in the planetary term, at the beginning is based on the regulation of global finance, in turn comes the universal and global international organization - the UN, which is established in 1945, The implementation of the provisions of the "Bretton Woods Agreement", goes through various painful vicissitudes until 1971, when the United States government puts an end to the convertibility of the dollar, which led to the end(of the "Agreement").

Global financial institutions (IMF and WB) remain and still operate until today, not even for a second to have had something to do with the global world organization - UN. This setup not random illogical "global concept" – lack of coordination among institutions is a prerequisite for other controversial practices and difficult control in solving crisis to this day. Crisis "occurred," and "went away" out of any control, even "scientifically" explained by the cycle of crises. Namely, almost sinusoidal cycle of crises, allowing the adverse effects to be stopped or at least reduced.

Without denying the enormous usefulness and work done by the IMF and WB, it is obvious that it is imperative to radically reform them.

Recent decisions in Pittsburgh for Reform are rather an attempt to repair the existing global financial system in the face of IMF and WB. Submitting them to the "disposition" of "G - 20" is a decision entrusted with more expectations than with the actual functions and clear regulations. The world and it leaders must awaken the world economy with a new strategic policy to resources.

In global crisis, solutions must be global, which naturally does not exclude regional and national anti-crisis measures. "G - 8"and "G - 20" are undoubtedly important and influential leadership meetings, but they are not institutional. UN Secretary-General attended the meeting in Pittsburgh. Mr. Ban Ki-Moon said he wanted to "remind the leaders of the "G – 20", that 85% of the countries in the world are not represented at the meeting. And, the countries of "G – 20" allocating among themselves 85% of the revenues."

Illogical and unrealistic. At the a meeting in Pittsburgh, charged with addressing issues of global crisis, the UN was not represented as a full decisive participant, although it is the only world-recognized global organization. In

practice, when solving the problems of planet Earth, as represented by the UN, the fate is decided only by leaders of 20 countries.

REQUIRED CONCLUSIONS:

I. "BRETTON WOODS" APPROACH IS PROPER BUT EXHAUSTED

1. Bretton Woods Conference in 1944, before the end of the war, which was initiated by the Western allies, shows the insight of "tomorrow" for the economy and the need to create a world and global financial order in terms of peace. Undoubtedly, *the participants were concerned primarily with their interests and at the time the approach was correct and timely.*

2. Although, the winners were in a hurry to agree primarily - the clauses in the future planetary financial order, and since they were in no doubt that they will be leaders in this field, they have made and a "second step". They were ready immediately after the signing of the surrender and the peace treaties to initiate and establishment of the United Nations or the institution responsible for the political leadership of the planet.

3. Since their establishment, *the planetary global institutions - financial and policymaking are totally separated*, they were founded with pragmatic set of different goals and objectives. IMF and WB on the one hand and the UN on the other, follow divergent principles and goals. Financial institutions follow unswervingly, the principle "global markets are self regulated" and they serve through market models, primarily belonging to the "major financial players" and then the States and humanity. Global planetary problems in a purely political aspect (and not entirely), are "left" to the UN.

4. Hence the discrepancy, delusion that "self-regulation of the market" is ubiquitous phenomenon, it decides everything. As the world became "richer", that much bigger was the widening gap between the financial and political model of the planet. *UN and IMF- WB, (function) "work" like if they are on two different planets. Totally globalized world economy and finances, "feel" acute permanent lack of international political deterrent global regulator and arbitrator - Institution.*

II. NEW APPROACHES ARE NEEDED TO BUILD A NEW, FAIR AND WORKING WORLD FINANCIAL ORDER

1. There is a need to be organized consultative meetings initiated as a beginning by IMF and WB, the UN and with the participation of the G-7, G-20 as well as representatives of countries from the so-called “developing countries” and with broad experts participation.
2. In a most widely representative forum to convene a conference to formulate and adopt a New global financial (economic) policy in coordination with the UN.
3. Possible and likely a good option for the preparation and convening of the Conference to adopt a new global financial order is, if it is convened by the UN.

III. CREATING A NEW INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL ORDER AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AS PART OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

1. Principles:

- the financial order should be built based on the development prospects of the global economy for a period of 50 years;
- to be maintained the global approach to structuring the global economic and financial order, in harmony and organizational bond to a global world order;
- Global world’s financial institutions to be built simultaneously and to be part of united world global institution;
- Global financial institutions to establish and regional (continental) divisions;
- The new world financial institutions to be built as a highly professional independent expert institutions working within the framework of the global world organization;
- It must be formed Institution, regulator that creates principles and rules that prevent (not allow)cycles of crisis that

exhausted the economy, avoids excessive accumulation of credit capital or a total lack of it.

- Lack of adequate international "institutional framework", i.e. court or arbitration, like the courts to deal with the bankrupt national economies - which would allow the over-indebtedness to be managed at international level;
- Must solve this problem by creating an "international court of debts."(Jose Antonio Ocampo, Columbia University, New York).

IV. NEW RESOURCES POLICY - BASIS OF THE NEW WORLD ECONOMY

- Adopting a Strategy for a planned and phased development of resources backed by International Convention on the procedures for mining and use of resources for the benefit of mankind;
- Building the foundations of Solar and Hydrogen Economy;
- Staged phasing out the discontinuance of mining for resources and industries, harmful to Nature and Human;
- Building of World economy based on clean production for "*mutual benefit*" and "*stable balance*".

NEW WORLD (LEGAL)ORDER

Our understanding of the need for a "New World Order" is not archaism, not human quirk, which was cloned in the past when such "earth policy" has been associated with satanic mysticism, anti-Christian ideology, fascism or any other inhuman ideas and practices.

New World Order is not an idea of the negating the past and for creating a society that claims that it is the completion of the human civilization. Simply the New World Order is just the next step in the motion of human spiral (swiftly moving) forward and upward.

Why it is necessary New World Order:

- The world of "1945" set the direction of the global cycle for management of processes, but the world of "2009" is different;
- The model "1945", is already been exhausted ideologically and pragmatically, it does not reflect on the new realities.
- *The world of "2009"* is in front of two alternatives - either to continue to expand globally, built on a "new realities" in terms of an already "transformed world", or go back returned to the world of nation states - multilingual, multi-faith and embracing the principles - "Every one for himself" and "(the) stronger eats the weaker?"
- *The world of "2009"* is likely to elect (and) another "third" alternative, but in this current day of Mankind with shaped up pessimistic perspectives, compel the choice to be reasonable, accurate, and for the benefit of entire mankind and subsequent generations after us;
- The world can no longer "lurks" behind U.S. hegemony, or behind the U.S. failures. Each country will have to analyze the raging crisis. At the same time each country will be obliged to face the fact that its dilemmas can be resolved *only through joint action (Henry Kissinger)*.
- *The world of "2009"* needs a new, global INSTITUTION, capable to carry the responsibilities of the "Transformed World", to be cooperative structure, combining the role of regulator of economic, energy and financial issues to be able to unite the interests of the nations but above all of Humanity.
- New universal global institution, for example such as *"United Nations (UN), it is logical to be built as a universal structure with the full volume of functions - International leader in charge of political, economic, financial, resource, ecological and protection of human reproduction and problems of living nature.*
- *The model "2009"* requires the rise of a new principle for targeted global new world institution - *the interests of Humanity, Man and living nature, as a priority for UN and each Member State;*

- *The model "2009"* is not an opponent of "*nation state*", because without it the global world is meaningless. Nation-state performs important political, economic and social functions, there is no like alternative institution. It is also an institution of citizens. Global world without nation-states would (resemble) become a giant dome, without supporting points;

NEW WORLD ORDER AND THE NEW GLOBAL WORLD INSTITUTION

The New World Order entails creation of a new comprehensive global institution. There are two options, either specific and functional restructuring of the currently functioning UN or creation of a new institution. Before that, (should be taking steps toward) we must proceed with the differentiation and realignment of the important points of the planetary system of values in the environment of a "Transformed World".

Regardless of the Mankind's choice – reorganization of the World Organization or creation of a new institution, "purely" the objective is the same - effective performance of its performance, protection, and safety for Human(s) in the Transformed world.

WHAT IS NOT NECESSARY TO THE NEW GLOBAL INSTITUTION:

1. The Institution of (the) Great Powers' within UN:

- *The Status Quo "great country"* has changed over time in nearly a century. With the establishment of the UN in 1945 in the Security Council was established institutions of the "*permanent members*".
- This institution, in "2009" has no longer the same value as it was in "1945". It was obviously different "weight" in world politics during the last 50 years of "great powers" - USA, France, Britain, Russia and China. Defeated Germany and Japan on its own and help by the United States were (elevated) lifted to world leaders.
- The process of integration of the European countries within the European Union, gradually the world leaders and Great Powers - (Great) Britain and France diminish somewhat the level of their status.

- Тогава САЩ и ЕС и „подадоха ръка” и тя отново се „изправи на крака” и започна отново да „удря по масата”.
- During 80-ies of last century, the Soviet Union fell apart, the functions of "superpower" inherited Russia and she after its public and political decay quickly went down... to the point of hunger of his people. Then the U.S. and the EU extended a helping hand and Russia again standed up on her legs and again began to "hit the table."
- Appeared and already multiplying is and another none institutional type of “great power” not originating on any kind of a legal base: “G – 7”, “G – 8” “G – 20”, pretending to rule the Global world;
- UN and the institution of "permanent members" of the Security Council ("great powers") are formed immediately after World War II. Then those countries - the Soviet Union, the United States, Britain, France and China were allies.
- In today's differentiated world, it is already archaism. Each of these countries has its own interests and is not willing to work on a "consensus" bases , always there is one of them, which imposes a "veto" power.
- As a result of it the World Organization becomes dysfunctional at a time and need for taking *important planetary decisions*.

2. The right to "veto":

- Today, in the World Organization for making decisions are taken two rather contradictory principles - "veto" and "consensus."
- In building the new organization, should be removed first the right of individual states to stopp with "veto" the adoption of particular decisions;
- Exactly through active use of its right, the five permanent member states of the Security Council at UN dissociate the activity of dozens of countries to the work of the world organization.

- If decided that there is a need to offer a corrective to vote on important decisions, that can be made with limited voting "quorum" and a realistic expression of other criteria.

WHAT ELSE IS NECESSARY TO THE NEW "UN":

1. Based on the statutes presently in force and structure of the UN to be undertaken a restructuring of the global organization, preceded by adoption of new philosophy about the notion of "Global world" and to determine the strategic directions at least for the next 50 years.
2. The bylaws of the UN to be redone, the functions of the major permanent organs is better to be simplified, unencumbered by unnecessary bureaucratic mechanisms.
3. Structure and rules should enable the authorities to work and not cause unnecessary discussions.
4. It is necessary to improve and simplify the procedure of adoption of decisions. "Consensus" or common agreement should be retained as a way for the adoption of general planetary, humanitarian and others problems.
5. For greater speed when taking decisions on issues related to safety and of operational nature, avoiding lengthy postponement, are in need to develop effective and fair voting system, such as "*tiered*" quorum.
6. Funding of the World Organization should not seek to burden Member States, but to be built on just principles.
7. Upon receipt of a larger share of mined planetary resources, based on geopolitical realities of territorial, "common goods" for the respective countries, the contribution should be proportional to the harvested goods.

We offer for reflection, a project for creation of some permanently acting authorities allowing the improvement of effectiveness and actuality of the UN in the time:

CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN PRAGMATIC STRUCTURES OF UN

- Standing Committee on Resource Management and Conservation of Nature and Man
- Standing Committee for the development and management of New (clean) energy
- Standing Committee for the management of economic and financial planetary strategies;
- Standing Committee on the Prevention and Management of planetary and space hazards;
- Standing Committee on the safety management of the planet and humans;
- Executive Council on economic and financial stability and control of the operational institutions;
- General Military Council for management of the armed (UN) forces and UN operations for preventing armed conflicts and consequences of planetary and space conflicts, disasters and cataclysms;
- Center for analysis of prehistoric and historic human cultures and prognosis of the culture of the future and contacts with extraterrestrial civilizations;
- Planetary Center for Strategic Research, Analysis and Forecasts with regional offices.

"The end of the world will not be tomorrow" (Tit Livy), but it is necessary to accelerate the planetary processes to "change", to rearrange in a new way our home – the Earth for the future, if necessary "stone by stone" ... and to prepare ourselves to face the World Resource Crisis.

*

* *